wanting therein had been clearly by the Means of the Lower U. H. Journal house standing so much upon Niceties as not to receive Coll: Courseys Evidence although he was Sent to them from this house for that Purpose, Meerly because he appeared there with his sword on (as this house Conceive he ought) but was referred to a Committee of theirs for Examination-

Mr Carvile then requested that what Coll: Stevens could say Concerning the Behaviour of Jacob Young in the last Treaty with the Northern Indians at the Zachaiah Fort might be taken by the Cl of this house for their Consideration which

was promised him should be done

He added also that Thomas Pue who waited on his Lordship could (as the Lower house had recd Information) offer Some what Considerable in Evidence agt the said Jacob Young which they desired might be likewise taken & Communicated to them which was promised should be done so soon as the said Pue was to be mett with

The said Carvile then Delivers an Act for Reviving the Temporary Laws and returns with Mr Wicks to the Lower house

Coll William Stevens at the request of this house writeth his P. 458 own Testimony Concerning the Carriage and Behaviour of

Iacob Young at the Zachaiah fort (Vizt)

When Coll: Henry Coursey myself and Jacob Young Interpreter were by his Lordship Sent up to Treat with the Northern Indians at Zachaiah in August 1681. after we had Concluded the Severall Articles that did immediately Concern the Christian People of this Province, Coll: Coursey and myself Determined to Discourse the Northern Indians why they Warred with the Pisscattaway Indians, Seeing Coll. Coursey had in a former Treaty made Peace for them; accordingly Jacob Young was Ordered to Speak to them, at which Jacob Young was Disturbed and said (as to the best of my Remembrance) he had rather have given Ten thousand pounds of Tobacco, than have Come to be Interpreter upon that Account or words to that Effect

William Stevens Signed

Novem^r the 8th 1682

The Upper house have Considered the words Spoken by Mr Carvile who Shewed to this house the Articles drawn up by the Committee of the Lower house against Jacob Young, Vizt That what was Contained in the two Affidavits taken, which words Spoken by Jacob Young Since his Imprisonment are only Testimonies Ex post facto, so not to be Admitted to prove the fact for which he was Committed (if this house rightly understood him and the Drift of his Speech) and therefore this house do Say that they conceive it Imports litle